

CERTAINTY CLOUD-AEROSOL INTERACTIONS 6 THEIR IMPACTS IN THE EARTH SYSTEM

Modeling the contribution of leads to sea spray aerosol in the high Arctic

Rémy Lapere, Louis Marelle, Pierre Rampal, Laurent Brodeau, Christian Melsheimer, Gunnar Spreen, and **Jennie L. Thomas**

Workshop "Interfaces dans le système climatique"



CRiceS has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101003826



(Rices)







Team members in France







Surface temperature anomaly for 2020





5.0

3.0 2.0

1.0

0.5 0.0

-3.0

-5.0

egrees

-0.5 Celsius -1.0 -2.0

Reference period: 1981-2010 Data: ERA5. Credit: C3S/ECMWF

Temperature anomalies across the Arctic region (66.6° N–90°N) from ERA5, credit: C3S/ECMWF





S C Rices

Polar anthro. aerosol-cloud effective radiative forcing, CMIP6 ±2σ model spread











| nature climate change | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Explore content 🗸 About the journal 🖌 Publish with us 🖌 Subscribe | | | | |
| nature > nature climate change > perspectives > article | | | | |
| Perspective Published: 08 February 2021 | | | | |
| Aerosols in current and future Arctic climate | | | | |
| Julia Schmale 🖾, Paul Zieger & Annica M. L. Ekman | | | | |
| Nature Climate Change 11, 95–105 (2021) Cite this article | | | | |
| 8938 Accesses 118 Citations 106 Altmetric Metrics | | | | |
| Abstract | | | | |
| Mechanisms of Arctic amplification and Arctic climate change are difficult to pinpoint, and | | | | |
| current climate models do not represent the complex local processes and feedbacks at play, | | | | |
| in particular for aerosol-climate interactions. This Perspective highlights the role of aerosols | | | | |
| in contamporary Arctic climate change and straces that the Arctic natural across l baseline is | | | | |

atura alimata alagrada

in contemporary Arctic climate interactions: this respective inginging the fore of defoods in contemporary Arctic climate change and stresses that the Arctic natural aerosol baseline is changing fast and its regional characteristics are very diverse. We argue that to improve understanding of present day and future Arctic, more detailed knowledge is needed on natural Arctic aerosol emissions, their evolution and transport, and the effects on cloud microphysics. In particular, observation and modelling work should focus on the sensitivity of aerosol-climate interactions to the rapidly evolving base state of the Arctic.



nature climate change

Explore content v About the journal v Publish with us v Subscribe

nature > nature climate change > perspectives > article

Perspective | Published: 08 February 2021

Aerosols in current and future Arctic climate

Julia Schmale [™], Paul Zieger & Annica M. L. Ekman

Nature Climate Change 11, 95–105 (2021) Cite this article

8938 Accesses 118 Citations 106 Altmetric Metrics

Abstract

Mechanisms of Arctic amplification and Arctic climate change are difficult to pinpoint, and current climate models do not represent the complex local processes and feedbacks at play, in particular for aerosol-climate interactions. This Perspective highlights the role of aerosols in contemporary Arctic climate change and stresses that the Arctic natural aerosol baseline is changing fast and its regional characteristics are very diverse. We argue that to improve understanding of present day and future Arctic, more detailed knowledge is needed on natural Arctic aerosol emissions, their evolution and transport, and the effects on cloud microphysics. In particular, observation and modelling work should focus on the sensitivity of aerosol-climate interactions to the rapidly evolving base state of the Arctic.

| | Article | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Articles / Volume 23, issue 7 / ACP, 23, 4115–4122, 2023 Search | | | |
| | https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-4115-2023 © Author(s) 2023. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License. Article Peer review Metrics Related articles | | | |
| | ACP Letters Highlight paper 🐵 🕕 05 Apr 2 | | | |
| | Uncertainty in aerosol–cloud radiative forcing is | | | |
| | driven by clean conditions Edward Gryspeerdt 🗠, Adam C. Povey, Roy G. Grainger, Otto Hasekamp, N. Christina Hsu, Jane P. Mulcahy, | | | |
| - | | | | |

CLOUD-AFROSOL INTERACTIONS

& THEIR IMPACTS IN THE EARTH SYSTE

BCRICES

0.01 0.03 0.1 0.3

Abstract

Atmospheric aerosols and their impact on cloud properties remain the largest uncertainty in the human forcing of the climate system. By increasing the concentration of cloud droplets (N_d), aerosols reduce droplet size and increase the reflectivity of clouds (a negative radiative forcing). Central to this climate impact is the susceptibility of cloud droplet number to aerosol (β), the diversity of which explains much of the variation in the radiative forcing from aerosol-cloud interactions (RFaci) in global climate models.





Polar sea-salt aerosol in climate models

Lapere, et al., 2023, The Representation of Sea Salt Aerosols and Their Role in Polar Climate Within CMIP6







Polar sea-salt aerosol in climate models

Lapere, et al., 2023, The Representation of Sea Salt Aerosols and Their Role in Polar Climate Within CMIP6

Change in SW+LW radiation with doubled sea salt emissions



2x sea salt => up to -10W/m2





Polar sea-salt aerosol in climate models

Lapere, et al., 2023, The Representation of Sea Salt Aerosols and Their Role in Polar Climate Within CMIP6



2x sea salt => up to -10W/m2

Relevance to GDR: Relations entre observations, données et intégration dans les modèles





What are leads?



CRices





Lead emissions







Lapere, et al., 2024, in review, Modeling the contribution of leads to sea spray aerosol in the high Arctic

How much is emitted?

| Nilsson et al., 2001 Measured aerosol flux | Open sea | Leads |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| | log(F)=0.20Ū-1.71 | log(F)=0.11Ū-1.93 |
| | | |

Ratio open ocean/leads to be used in our parameterization

$$R_{
m Nilsson} = rac{F_{
m leads}}{F_{
m oo}} = rac{\exp(0.11\bar{U} - 1.93)}{\exp(0.20\bar{U} - 1.71)}$$

Sea ice concentration from NEMO-neXtSIM







Lapere, et al., 2024, in review, Modeling the contribution of leads to sea spray aerosol in the high Arctic



Monthly contributions to Arctic sea salt aerosol mass

sea salt from leads could matter as much as transported open ocean sea salt





Lapere, et al., 2024, in review, Modeling the contribution of leads to sea spray aerosol in the high Arctic



Important fraction of organics in sea spray from leads -> key for ice cloud formation + seasonality difference leads vs open ocean





Lapere, et al., 2024, in review, Modeling the contribution of leads to sea spray aerosol in the high Arctic



Implementation in WRF-Chem

~12% of sea salt surface mass concentration comes from leads in summer





CRiceS

Conclusions / perspectives

→ Conclusions

- Developed a new emissions parameterization for sea spray emissions from leads
- Suggest organic and inorganic aerosol fraction, as that is a function of open ocean sea spray emissions
- Tested the parameterization in a regional model WRF-Chem and suggest that ~12 % of sea salt mass is from leads in summer

Lapere, et al., 2024, in review, Modeling the contribution of leads to sea spray aerosol in the high Arctic

- → Perspectives
 - Needs to be tested within a climate / Earth system modeling framework
 - The role of increasing / changing sea spray aerosol emissions from leads under future sea ice conditions needs to be investigated
 - The role of sea salt and lead emissions in controlling polar clouds (abundance, phase) needs further investigation



Rémy Lapere